JOURNAL: PRIMAX INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH
ISSN: Print ISSN: 2321-3604 Online ISSN: 2321-3612, Impact Factor: 7.184
(A DOUBLE-BLIND PEER REVIEWED, OPEN ACCESS REFEREED QUARTERLY JOURNAL)
PRIMAX IJCMR VOLUME NO.12, ISSUE NO-4, JANUARY-MARCH, 2025 Research Article

THE ROLE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN SAFEGUARDING AGAINST FINANCIAL SHENANIGANS: A SHAREHOLDER PERSPECTIVE

Dr Shijina A S
Assistant Professor
Mannaniya College of Arts and Science, Pangode

*Corresponding authors | Received: 01/11/2024 | Accepted: 15/12/2024 | Published: 18/01/2025

Abstract

Corporate governance is pivotal in fostering transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct within organizations, especially in safeguarding shareholders against financial shenanigans. This study investigates the role of corporate governance in mitigating financial mismanagement from a shareholder perspective. Utilizing primary data from 250 shareholders, the research employs statistical tools to analyze perceptions of governance mechanisms, such as independent board oversight and audit effectiveness. Key findings reveal that board independence and active shareholder involvement are critical to preventing misconduct, while areas like executive compensation structures require improvement. The study emphasizes the need for robust governance frameworks to enhance trust and ensure corporate sustainability.

Keywords: Corporate governance, financial shenanigans, shareholder perspective, board independence, transparency, executive compensation, audit effectiveness.

Introduction

Corporate governance has emerged as a cornerstone of modern business practices, ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical behavior within organizations. In an era marred by financial scandals and corporate frauds, robust governance mechanisms have become indispensable. Shareholders, as key stakeholders, are particularly vulnerable to financial misconduct, making their perspectives essential in evaluating corporate governance frameworks. Financial shenanigans, including fraudulent accounting and misrepresentation of financial statements, erode shareholder value, tarnish corporate reputations, and disrupt market confidence. High-profile corporate scandals have underscored the need for stringent governance structures to prevent such malpractices. Shareholders demand greater accountability and transparency from boards and management teams to safeguard their investments. The present study explores how corporate governance mitigates financial mismanagement, focusing on mechanisms like independent board oversight, rigorous audits, and ethical standards. By examining shareholder opinions, it highlights how governance frameworks can be tailored to foster trust, ethical behavior, and long-term corporate sustainability.

Need and Significance of the Study

The need for this study stems from recent high-profile corporate frauds like the Adani Group controversy, which revealed allegations of financial misrepresentation and regulatory lapses. Similar cases, such as the Satyam scandal in India and the Wirecard fraud in Germany, have exposed the catastrophic impacts of weak governance. These incidents emphasize the urgency for effective governance practices to ensure accountability and protect shareholder interests. This study's significance lies in analyzing shareholder perspectives to evaluate existing governance frameworks and their role in mitigating financial misconduct.

Objectives of the Study

To analyze the perception of shareholders regarding the role of corporate governance in preventing financial shenanigans.

Hypotheses of the Study

- Opinion regarding statements on the role of corporate governance in preventing financial shenanigans is equal to the average level.
- There is no significant difference between small and large shareholders regarding the factors of corporate governance.

Operational Definitions

Small Shareholders: Shareholders with investments up to Rs. 1,55,000.

Large Shareholders: Shareholders with investments above Rs. 1,55,000.

Review of Literature

The literature emphasizes the pivotal role of corporate governance in mitigating financial misconduct and protecting shareholder interests. Foundational studies like Jensen and Meckling's (1976) Agency Theory and Shleifer and Vishny (1997) highlighted governance's impact on corporate value. Indian studies, such as Varma (1997) and Balasubramanian et al. (2008), explored governance failures post the Harshad Mehta and Satyam scandals. Internationally, Becht et al. (2003) and La Porta et al. (1999) analyzed legal frameworks and governance systems. Recent studies, including Gupta and Sharma (2020) on India's financial sector and Bhasin (2016) on the Satyam scandal, underscore governance gaps. Clarke's (2004) insights on Enron and WorldCom scandals, along with the Hindenburg Report (2023) on Adani, underline the global need for robust governance.

Methodology

The study is descriptive and analytical, relying on primary data from 250 shareholders with investments in Indian companies. Using judgment sampling, the perception of shareholders was studied through SPSS. Tools like mean, standard deviation, and inferential tests (One-Sample t-test, Independent Sample t-test) were used for analysis.

Understanding Financial Shenanigans

Financial shenanigans include practices like earnings manipulation, misleading disclosures, aggressive accounting, and fraudulent financial reporting. These unethical actions mislead stakeholders and significantly harm shareholder value and corporate reputation.

Role of Corporate Governance

Agency Theory highlights the conflict of interest between shareholders and executives, mitigated by corporate governance mechanisms like independent boards and audits. The Fraud Triangle Theory (Cressey, 1953) explains misconduct through pressure, opportunity, and rationalization, all addressed by governance. Stewardship Theory emphasizes managerial responsibility, necessitating strong governance structures to detect anomalies. Scandals like Adani and Satyam reaffirm the importance of effective governance in fostering financial integrity and sustainability.

Analysis and Discussion

Hypotheses I

Null Hypothesis: Opinion regarding statements on the role of corporate governance in preventing financial shenanigans is equal to the average level.

Alternative Hypothesis: Opinion regarding statements on the role of corporate governance in preventing financial shenanigans is not equal to the average level.

Table 10.1: Statements Relating to the Role of Corporate Governance

Sl No	Statements	Mean	SD	T Value	P Value
1	Strong corporate governance prevents financial shenanigans.	3.98	1.270	12.147	<0.001**
2	The board safeguards against financial shenanigans.	3.84	1.136	11.687	<0.001**
3	Current governance practices are sufficient.	3.13	1.068	1.895	0.059
4	Independent board members reduce misconduct.	4.53	0.883	27.350	<0.001**
5	CEO-Chairman role separation is vital.	3.89	1.230	11.413	<0.001**
6	Audit committees are effective.	3.78	1.046	11.853	<0.001**

7	Companies with strong governance engage less in misconduct.	3.57	1.081	8.363	<0.001**
8	Shareholders should play a more active role.	3.91	1.026	14.056	<0.001**
9	Transparent governance practices inspire confidence.	3.56	1.175	7.482	<0.001**
10	Executive compensation should align with performance.	2.98	1.644	.192	0.848

Source: Primary Data
Note: ** denotes significant at 1 % level of significance.

The findings indicate board independence as the most critical factor (mean 4.53), followed by strong corporate governance and active shareholder roles. Executive compensation structures ranked lowest (mean 2.98). The null hypothesis was rejected for eight statements ($p < 0.001$), showing significant deviations from average opinions. However, current governance practices and executive compensation had neutral perceptions, highlighting areas for improvement.

Hypotheses II

Null Hypothesis: No significant difference exists between small and large shareholders regarding governance factors.

Alternative Hypothesis: Significant differences exist between small and large shareholders regarding governance factors.

Table 10.2: Shareholder Groups and Governance Factors

Variables	Small Shareholders		Large Shareholders		t Value	P Value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Factors of Corporate Governance	38.26	5.360	36.07	7.679	2.607	0.010**

Source: Primary Data
** indicates significant at 1% level of Significance

The results indicate a significant difference ($p = 0.010$) between small and large shareholders. Small shareholders exhibit stronger reliance on governance factors to prevent financial shenanigans compared to large shareholders.

Conclusion

The study underscores the critical role of corporate governance in preventing financial shenanigans and highlights shareholder perspectives on improving governance practices. Strengthening board independence, promoting transparency, and reforming executive compensation structures are essential to safeguarding shareholder interests and fostering financial integrity.

Bibliography

- Balasubramanian, N., Black, B. S., & Khanna, V. (2008). Firm-level corporate governance in emerging markets: A case study of India. *Emerging Markets Review*, *9*(3), 211-233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2008.04.003
- Becht, M., Bolton, P., & Röell, A. (2003). Corporate governance and control. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Finance* (Vol. 1, pp. 1-109). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102(03)01005-7
- Bhagat, S., & Bolton, B. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *14*(3), 257-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2008.03.006
- Bhasin, M. L. (2016). Creative accounting practices in Satyam scandal: A case study of India's Enron. *Open Journal of Accounting*, *5*(4), 59-80. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojacct.2016.54007
- Clarke, T. (2004). *Theories of corporate governance: The philosophical foundations of corporate governance*. Routledge.
- Gupta, P., & Sharma, A. M. (2020). Corporate governance failures in the Indian banking sector: The case of PMC Bank and IL&FS. *Journal of Banking and Financial Technology*, *4*(2), 1-17.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *3*(4), 305-360. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1999). Investor protection and corporate governance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *58*(1-2), 3-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00065-9
- Mitton, T. (2002). A cross-firm analysis of the impact of corporate governance on the East Asian financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *64*(2), 215-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(02)00076-4
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *The Journal of Finance*, *52*(2), 737-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb04820.x
- Varma, J. R. (1997). Corporate governance in India: Disciplining the dominant shareholder. *IIM Bangalore Research Paper*.
- Hindenburg Research. (2023). *Adani Group: How the world's 3rd richest man is pulling the largest con in corporate history*. Retrieved from https://hindenburgresearch.com/adani
- Cressey, D. R. (1953). Other people's money: A study of the social psychology of embezzlement. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.